Lambe, Elizabeth Long Beach, CA Page 1 of 1

> 02/26/01 MON 09:13 FAX 423 576 1237 Feb 23 01 08:33p E J LAMBE

MDP. DP-EIGHTY

@1006 p.3

Jany Hartman
U.S. Dept of Genergy
Oak Ridge Operations, DP-80
P.O. Box 2001
Oak Ridge, TN 3783/
Dear Mr. Hartman,

Deprove the \(\big| - 12 \) plant modernization.
We don't need a new bomb plant, because
- Russia + the U.S. Lave agreed to much smaller
stockpiles in the furthounds of STARTIU negotiation
- The proposed new plans, a new HEU faulty +
a new demical plant will not lead to hurdred
of new jobs.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Jambe
4100 & 6 \$\implies \text{St.}

Read CA 90814

Comment No. 1

Issue Code: 16

Comment noted. There is no plan or proposal in the Y-12 SWEIS to build a new bomb plant. At the present time, the United States is downsizing the nuclear weapons stockpile consistent with the terms of START I and the recently ratified START II. Although Russia suspended its nuclear weapons dismantlement activities on January 20, 2001, DOE has continued its weapons dismantlement activities.

Comment No. 2 Issue Code:03

Comment noted. DOE believes that it has adequately addressed socioeconomic impacts that could result from implementing the various alternatives. Volume I, Chapter 5, Section 5.3 of the Y-12 SWEIS addresses socioeconomic impacts of the proposed action and alternatives. Impacts to area employment from proposed actions would be short-term, lasting only the duration of the construction period. An estimated 1,130 jobs (540 direct and 590 indirect) would be created as a result of Alternative 4. There would be no increases in Y-12 employment due to operation of the HEU Materials Facility and the Special Material Complex because these facilities would be staffed by existing Y-12 employees.